#### **STATE OF NEVADA**



STEVE SISOLAK Governor DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY

TERRY REYNOLDS Director

# FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION

SANDY O'LAUGHLIN Commissioner

- **DATE:** October 6, 2021
- **TO:** Whom It May Concern
- FROM: Mary Young Deputy Commissioner

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Workshop to Solicit Comments on Proposed Regulations Pertaining to NRS 649 as amended by Senate Bill 248 (S.B.248) (2021) - Medical Debt Collection

The regulation included in this memorandum is being proposed for permanent adoption. In order to review the proposed regulation and solicit comments from interested persons, a workshop will be held via Webex conference from 10:00 a.m. - 1:00 p.m. on Tuesday, October 26, 2021.

Enclosures:

Notice of Workshop and Workshop Agenda Proposed Regulation Small Business Impact Statement Enrolled Version S.B.248

# NOTICE OF WORKSHOP TO SOLICIT COMMENTS ON PROPOSED REGULATIONS PERTAINING TO SENATE BILL 248 (S.B.248) MEDICAL DEBT COLLECTION AND WORKSHOP AGENDA

The State of Nevada, Financial Institutions Division ("Division"), 3300 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 250, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102, (702) 486-4120 is proposing the adoption of regulations to Chapter 649 of the Nevada Administrative Code ("NAC"). The proposed regulations are required as a result of the passage of Senate Bill 248 (S.B.248) during the 81st Session of the Nevada Legislature adjourned sine die on June 1, 2021. This workshop will be conducted in accordance with NRS 233B.061 and the purpose is to solicit comments from interested persons on the proposed regulations to be held through videoconference and teleconference:

Date:	Tuesday, October 26, 2021

Time:

When it's time, join the Webex meeting by clicking on "Join meeting" link below:

10:00 a.m. – 1:00 p.m.

# Join meeting

Meeting number (access code): 2493 584 8224 Meeting password: SB248WS

Or join by phone: 1-844-621-3956 United States Toll-Free

Additional options to join:

Join from the meeting link

https://businessnv2.webex.com/businessnv2/j.php?MTID=m8be0dcc643e6d2429b29dc9c8f1618ab

Join from a video system or application

Dial 24935848224@businessnv2.webex.com

You can also dial 173.243.2.68 and enter your meeting number.

Below is an agenda of all items scheduled to be considered. Persons who may be subject to the provisions of the new law regarding medical debt collection should attend. At the discretion of the Commissioner, public comment may be limited to three minutes per person. Members of the public are encouraged to submit written comments for the record. Written comment can be submitted to the Division by email: <u>fidmaster@fid.state.nv.us</u> or by mail: 3300 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 250, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102.

A copy of all materials relating to the proposal may be obtained by visiting the Division's website at: http://fid.nv.gov or by contacting the Division, 3300 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 250, Las Vegas,

Nevada 89102, (702) 486-4120. Members of the public who would like additional information about a proposed regulation may contact Mary Young, Deputy Commissioner, at (702) 486-4120, or via e-mail to fidmaster@fid.state.nv.us

#### WORKSHOP AGENDA:

- 1. Open Workshop
- 2. Public Comment
- 3. Presentation of Proposed Regulation to amend NAC 649 regarding Collection of Medical Debt under NRS 649 as amended by S.B. 248 (2021).
- 4. Public Comment
- 5. Close Workshop

## **PROPOSED REGULATIONS:**

See attached.

This Notice has been e-mailed to all persons on the Division's mailing list for notice of proposed rulemaking, posted on the Division's website at <u>http://www.fid.nv.gov</u>, and posted at the following public locations for inspection by members of the public:

Nevada Financial Institutions Division 3300 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 250 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

Nevada Financial Institutions Division 1755 East Plumb Lane, Suite 243 Reno, Nevada 89502

Attn: Public Posting Legislative Building 401 South Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701

Attn: Public Posting Blasdel Building 209 East Musser Street Carson City, Nevada 89701 Nevada State Business Center 3300 W. Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

Attn: Public Posting Nevada Dept. of Business & Industry 1830 College Parkway, Suite 100 Carson City, Nevada 89706

Attn: Public Posting Grant Sawyer Building 555 E. Washington Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Attn: Public Posting Nevada DETR 2800 E. St. Louis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89104 Attn: Public Posting Capital Building, Main Floor 101 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701

Attn: Public Posting Nevada State Library & Archives 100 North Stewart Street Carson City, Nevada 89701

Attn: Public Posting Las Vegas – Clark County Library 7060 W. Windmill Lane Las Vegas, Nevada 89113

Attn: Public Posting Mineral County Public Library 110 1<sup>st</sup> Street Hawthorne, Nevada 89415

Attn: Public Posting Elko County Library 720 Court Street Elko, Nevada 89801

Attn: Public Posting Goldfield Public Library Corner of Crook Ave. & Fourth St P.O. Box 430 Goldfield, Nevada 89013

Attn: Public Posting Eureka Branch Library 80 S. Monroe Street P.O. Box 293 Eureka, Nevada 89316

Attn: Public Posting Humboldt County Library 85 East 5th Street Winnemucca, Nevada 89445 Attn: Public Posting Churchill County Library 553 S. Maine Street Fallon, Nevada 89406

Attn: Public Posting Douglas County Public Library 1625 Library Lane P.O. BOX 337 Minden, Nevada 89423

Attn: Public Posting Tonopah Public Library 167 S. Central Street P.O. Box 449 Tonopah, Nevada 89049

Attn: Public Posting Pershing County Library 1125 Central Avenue P.O. Box 781 Lovelock, Nevada 89419

Attn: Public Posting Storey County Clerk 26 S. B Street, Drawer D Virginia City, Nevada 89440

Attn: Public Posting Downtown Reno Library/Washoe County 301 S. Center Street P.O. Box 2151 Reno, Nevada 89501

Attn: Public Posting White Pine County Library 950 Campton St. Ely, Nevada 89301

Attn: Public Posting Lander County 625 South Broad Street P.O. Box 141 Battle Mountain, Nevada 89820 Attn: Public Posting Lincoln County Library 63 Main Street P.O. Box 330 Pioche, Nevada 89043

Attn: Public Posting Lyon County Library 20 Nevin Way Yerington, Nevada 89447

Nevada Legislature website: www.leg.state.nv.us/App/Notice/A/ Attn: Public Posting Carson City Library 900 N. Roop Street Carson City, Nevada 89701

Attn: Public Posting Mineral County Public Library 110 1<sup>st</sup> Street Hawthorne, Nevada 89415

Nevada Public Notice website: www.notice.nv.gov

#### DRAFT PROPOSED REGULATION OF THE COMMISSIONER OF THE FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION

#### (Medical Debt Collection)

August 31, 2021

**Purpose:** To adopt regulations under the Nevada Administrative Code to implement Senate Bill No. 248 (2021), which amends Nevada Revised Statutes Chapter 649 by adding provisions related to medical debt collections.

Authority: NRS 649.053

**Explanation:** Material in *bold italics* is new; material in *bold brackets* is to be omitted.

Section 1. Chapter 649 of NAC is hereby amended by adding thereto the provisions set forth as sections 2 to 10, inclusive, of this regulation.

Sec. 2. NAC 649.010 Definitions. As used in this chapter, unless the context otherwise requires, the words and terms defined in NAC 649.013 to 649. \_\_\_\_\_, inclusive have the meanings ascribed to them in those sections and sections 2 through 6 of Senate Bill No. 248 and section 3 of this chapter.

Sec. 3. "Action to collect a medical debt" as provided in Section 7 of Senate Bill No. 248 means any attempt by a collection agency, or its manager, agents or employees to collect a medical debt from a medical debtor including, without limitation:

- a. Placing telephone calls to a medical debtor.
- b. Sending communication letters and notices to a medical debtor, other than the 60day notice required pursuant to Senate Bill 248.
- c. Contacting a medical debtor by any electronic means.
- d. Reporting the medical debt to any credit reporting agency.
- e. Demanding payment.
- f. Commencing any civil action.

2. The term does not include:

a. Any action initiated by a medical debtor;

b. A collection agency, its manager, agents or employees that provide clarification to a medical debtor relating to the content in the written notification required by section 7 of Senate Bill 248, if the contact is initiated by a medical debtor;

c. Sending the medical debtor verification of the medical debt if requested by the medical debtor; or

d. Sending a receipt for a voluntary payment to the medical debtor.

Sec. 4. The written notification required by section 7 of Senate Bill No. 248 shall be sent to a medical debtor when the medical debt is assigned to a collection agency, unless such notice was already sent by a prior collection agency. Such written notification to a medical debtor is not intended to be a communication under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act. No action to collect the medical debt shall take place for a period of 60 days from the date of mailing of the written notification. Once the 60-day period ends, a collection agency may proceed to attempt to collect the medical debt as usual and in compliance with all other provisions of this chapter and chapter 649 of NRS, including as amended by Senate Bill 248, Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Fair Credit Reporting Act, and any other state law relating to medical debt. A collection agency shall keep written verification of compliance with section 7 of Senate Bill 248 as part of its records for an account even if a different collection agency provided the required notice.

Sec. 5. The written notice required by NRS 649.332(2), if applicable, shall be provided to the medical debtor within 5 days of the expiration of the 60-day notice.

Sec. 6. In addition to the content required pursuant to section 7 of Senate Bill 248, a collection agency shall include in the 60-day notice:

On the front of the notice, within the body of the letter, at least 12-point bold type and in all capital letters:

#### THIS IS NOT A DEMAND FOR PAYMENT

On the front of the notice, within the body of the letter, at least 12-point bold type:

This notice is to inform you that your medical debt described herein was {assigned to OR otherwise obtained by} us, {enter name of collection agency}. As provided by NRS 649.\_\_\_\_, we will not take any action to collect this debt within 60 days from the date of this letter. Any payments made toward the debt during this timeframe are considered voluntary and will not void the 60-day notification period described above.

This medical debt will not be reported to any credit reporting agency during the 60-day notification period.

Any voluntary payment you may make toward the medical debt during the 60day period will not extend the applicable statute of limitation, is not an admission of liability and shall not be construed as a waiver of any defense to the collection of the medical debt.

This notification is not intended to be a communication under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

Sec.7. NAC 649.280 is hereby amended to read as follows:

1. All machine-derived form letters *relating to past due debt* must be submitted to the Commissioner of Financial Institutions for review *during an examination or investigation or upon request by the Commissioner or his or her designee*. [and approval before their actual use by the collection agency.]

2. [No] A collection agency [may] shall not use any machine-derived form letter that was found to be in violation of state or federal law during an examination or investigation until the deficiency or violation has been corrected. The corrected form letter must be submitted to the Commissioner of Financial Institutions for review and written approval prior to use by the collection agency. [unless it has received prior written approval from the Commissioner.]

3. All machine-derived form letters, including any letter that has been returned undeliverable along with the returned envelope, proof of mailing or proof of delivery are considered a record for purposes of NRS 649.335.

Sec. 8. The 60-day notification letter is considered a machine-derived form letter as defined in NAC 649.020.

## SMALL BUSINESS IMPACT STATEMENT FOR PROPOSED REGULATIONS BY THE FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS DIVISION (Division) TO SENATE BILL (SB) 248 (Chapter 649) MEDICAL DEBT COLLECTION September 29, 2021

#### 1. Small Business Impact Statement pursuant to NRS 233B.0609:

(a) A description of the manner in which comment was solicited from affected small businesses, a summary of their responses, and an explanation of the manner in which other interested persons may obtain a copy of the summary.

#### (I) Solicitation of affected small businesses.

The Division sought comments in accordance with NRS 233B.0608 for the purpose of considering whether as a result of the proposed regulations, there may be a direct and significant economic burden upon small business (defined as fewer than 150 employees) or if the regulations will directly restrict the formation, operation or expansion of a small business seeking to those engaged in or who desire to engage in the business of extending credit to ensure that there is established in this State an adequate, efficient and competitive service available to the general public.

The Division composed the solicitation list from current licensees under Nevada Revised Statutes Chapter 649 and known interested parties. In turn, the Division solicited comments on the proposed regulations for SB 248 from the above lists by emailing a notice and questionnaire. Additionally, a copy of the full text of the proposed regulations was emailed and posted to the Division's website. The solicited comments were used to formulate this Small Business Impact Statement.

#### (II) Summary of responses.

See attached spreadsheet.

#### (III) Obtain a copy of the summary.

This Small Business Impact Statement was posted on the NFID website dated October 6, 2021 along with a Notice of Workshop for October 26, 2021. Interested persons may also obtain a copy of the Small Business Impact Statement by contacting the:

Office of the Commissioner Financial Institutions Division 3300 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 250 Las Vegas, NV 89102 Email: FIDMaster@fid.state.nv.us Telephone: (702) 486-4120 Fax: (702) 486-4563 Website: http://fid.nv.gov

#### (b) The manner in which the analysis was conducted.

Pursuant to NRS 233B.0608(1), the Division made a concerted effort to determine whether the proposed regulations are likely to impose a direct and significant economic burden upon a small business; or directly restrict the formation, operation or expansion of a small business. For this effort, the Division sent a copy of the draft regulations and a Small Business Impact Questionnaire to all known interested parties for review and invited written comment regarding the impact to the entities, NFID took all comments submitted into consideration.

Following review and analysis of the authorizing statutory language (Senate Bill 248) and written comment from the industry, the Division has determined that the proposed regulation is unlikely to impose a direct and significant economic burden upon a small business; result in any direct or indirect adverse effects on small business; or directly restrict the formation, operation, or expansion of a small business. Majority of the comments received were directed towards S.B.248 and not the proposed regulation.

# (c) The estimated economic effect of the proposed regulation on the small businesses which it is to regulate including, without limitation:

## (1) Both Adverse and Beneficial effects:

#### (I) ADVERSE EFFECTS:

Medical debt collectors are concerned of the adverse effects that S.B.248 will impose on the industry, including their medical clients and medical debtors. The comments were more directed towards S.B.248 and not the proposed regulations.

## (II) BENEFICIAL EFFECTS:

Medical debt collectors did not provide beneficial comments regarding the proposed regulations.

## (2) Both Direct and Indirect effects:

#### (I) DIRECT EFFECTS:

Medical debt collectors are concerned of the adverse effects that S.B.248 will impose on the industry, including their medical clients and medical debtors. The comments were more directed towards S.B.248 and not the proposed regulations.

## (II) INDIRECT EFFECTS:

Medical debt collectors are concerned of the adverse effects that S.B.248 will impose on the industry, including their medical clients and medical debtors. The loss in revenue may impact medical facilities. The comments were more directed towards S.B.248 and not the proposed regulations.

# (d) A description of the methods that the agency considered to reduce the impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses and a statement regarding whether the agency actually used any of those methods.

The Division has received a total of fifty-five (55) responses to the solicitation sent to all interested parties. The Division has considered and analyzed all submitted comments and addressed those comment in the attached summary of response spreadsheet. The majority of the comments were more directed towards S.B. 248 and not the proposed regulation, the Division cannot change current law but has drafted the proposed regulation to mitigate concerns from the industry and provide clarification.

## (e) The estimated cost to the agency for enforcement of the proposed regulation.

The Division does not foresee the need for any additional funding or budget increase.

# (f) If the proposed regulation provides a new fee or increases an existing fee, the total annual amount the agency expects to collect, and the manner in which the money will be used.

The proposed regulation does not provide for a new fee or increase to an existing fee.

# (g) If the proposed regulation includes provisions which duplicate or are more stringent than federal, state, or local standards regulating the same activity, an explanation of why such duplicative or more stringent provisions are necessary.

The proposed regulations do not duplicate any existing federal, state, or local standards regulating the same activity. The proposed regulation and S.B. 248 work in conjunction with existing federal and state laws.

# (h) The reasons for the conclusions of the agency regarding the impact of the regulation on small businesses.

This is a result of the passage of new legislation, SB 248. The Division can only lessen the impact on small business by proposing regulation that provides clarification to the industry. The regulation itself does not impose an economy burden to small business.

To the best of my knowledge or belief, a concerted effort was made to determine the impact of the proposed regulation on small businesses and that the information contained in this Small Business Impact Statement was prepared properly and accurate.



Sandy O'Laughlin Commissioner Financial Institutions Division State of Nevada, Department of Business and Industry

Medical Debt Collection- Direct or Indirect Impact Item From Small Businesses	<u>Number/</u> and %	Direct or Indirect	<u>Adverse or</u> <u>Beneficial</u>	NFID Answer/Mitigation
S.B.248 requires the notice to be mailed via certified letter. The cost and added burden of this process is cost prohibitive. Increased cost to prepare and mail letters, and to maintain copies of all mail returns.	31 (56.4%)	Direct	Adverse	The Nevada Legislature enacted the law, S.B.248, which requires the 60-day notice to be sent by certified mail. NFID cannot remove this requirement from the law but can promulgate regulations to support the law.
Agencies foresee a decrease in collection rates and revenue due to the 60-day delay and certified mailing. The cost to mail the letters nullifies the ability for the accounts to be profitable.	15 (27.3%)	Direct	Adverse	The Nevada Legislature enacted the law, S.B.248, which requires the 60-day notice to be sent by certified mail. NFID cannot remove this requirement from the law but can promulgate regulations to support the law.
The requirement of sending communication via registered or certified mail decreases the likelihood of actual notice arriving to consumers. First class mail is sufficient.	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Adverse	The Nevada Legislature enacted the law, S.B.248, which requires the 60-day notice to be sent by certified mail. NFID cannot remove this requirement from the law but can promulgate regulations to support the law.
S.B. 248 will have a damaging impact to agency employees. Offering employee raises will be become difficult, if not impossible, as will offering benefits (health insurance, life, dental, vision and paid time off).	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Adverse	This would be a business decision made by a collection agency. The Nevada Legislature enacted the law, S.B.248. NFID cannot change a current law but can promulgate regulations to support the law. This comment is more directed towards S.B.248 and not the proposed regulations.

Negative impact on the creditor and potentially the consumer.	4 (7.3%)	Direct	Adverse	This comment refers to creditors and consumers and not a collection agency identifying as a small business nor is the comment received from a specific creditor to determine if the creditor is a small business as defined in NRS 233B.0382. Therefore, NFID does not provide a response since this a survey to determine how the proposed regulations will impact small businesses.
Agencies anticipate they will be forced to halt collection activity in Nevada.	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Adverse	This would be a business decision made by a collection agency. The Nevada Legislature enacted the law, S.B.248. NFID cannot change a current law but can promulgate regulations to support the law. This comment is more directed towards S.B.248 and not the proposed regulations.
The mandated delay in contacting the consumer will result in less revenue collected for clients and less revenue for the collection agency. Anticipate losing clients due to the inability to absorb these costs and losses created by S.B. 248.	5 (9.1%)	Indirect	Adverse	The Nevada Legislature enacted the law, S.B.248, which requires the 60-day waiting period. NFID cannot remove this requirement from the law but can promulgate regulations to support the law.

Delayed consumer communications: The 60 day waiting period effects the flow of consumer communications because the initial 60 days of an account cycle is the most impactful time. This period allows for an uninterrupted account flow from creditor to agency to consumer. Enacting a	3 (5.5%)	Indirect	Adverse	The Nevada Legislature enacted the law, S.B.248, which requires the 60-day waiting period. NFID cannot remove this requirement from the law but can promulgate regulations to support the law.
break in communication and				

causes more confusion for a		
consumer.		

Th 60-day limitation on	1 (1.8%)	Indirect	Adverse	This comment refers to consumers and
communication adversely				not a collection agency identifying as a
impacts business because not				small business, therefore, NFID does not
only does it hurt the consumer as				provide a response since this a survey to
an account cannot be resolved				determine how the proposed regulations
early, before credit reporting, but				will impact small businesses.
the consumer is unaware of the				
obligations for that 60-day				
period.				

Limiting this proposed language	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Adverse	The Nevada Legislature enacted the law,
to medical debt only: This				S.B. 248, which applies to medical debt
wrongly applies a restriction to				collection. NFID cannot apply S.B.248 to
one subsection of the debt				any other type of debt.
collection industry, therefore				
impacts one group of business				
more than others. To delay				
revenue recoveries and add more				
cost burdens for healthcare				
facilities who undoubtedly				
suffered, and continue to suffer,				
financially during COVID seems				
discriminatory.				

The obvious increase in cost S.B. 248 provides, to do the same job as an agency does in other states.	1 (1.8%)	Indirect	Adverse	The Nevada Legislature enacted the law, S.B.248, which requires the 60-day notice to be sent by certified mail. NFID cannot remove this requirement from the law but can promulgate regulations to support the law.
				support the law.

Loss of clients, loss of revenue, added overhead costs, labor costs, delayed recovery, and income.	3 (5.5%)	Indirect	Adverse	The Nevada Legislature enacted the law, S.B.248, which requires the 60-day notice to be sent by certified mail. NFID cannot remove this requirement from the law but can promulgate regulations to support the law.
---	----------	----------	---------	--

Not being able to take any action to collect a debt, an increase in customer service-related	1 (1.8%)	Indirect	Adverse	The Nevada State Legislature enacted the law, S.B.248, which requires no action to be taken on medical debt less than 60
complaints since cannot commute information.				days. NFID cannot remove this requirement but can promulgate regulations to support the law.

S.B. 248 will force a reduction in staff of collection agencies.	4 (7.3%)	Direct	Adverse	This would be a business decision made by a collection agency. The Nevada State Legislature enacted the law, S.B. 248. NFID cannot change a current law but can promulgate regulations to support the law. This comment is more directed towards S.B.248 and not the proposed
				regulations.

F				
The 60-day letter will cause confusion and false sense of urgency for medical debtors. The 60-day letter will be considered as a communication to the medical debtor because it is a notice from a collection agency.	2 (3.6%)	Indirect	Adverse	The Nevada State Legislature enacted the law, S.B.248, which requires no action to be taken on medical debt less than 60 days. NFID cannot remove this requirement, however, the proposed regulations provides clarification through sections 3, 4 and 6. Section 3 defines "action to collect a medical debt" and
considered as a communication to the medical debtor because it				requirement, however, the proposed regulations provides clarification through
				,
				notification to a medical debtor is not intended to be a communication under
				the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act." In addition, section 6 also requires a 60-
				day letter to have the disclosure: This is not intended to be a communication
				under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.

The certified mail bold text that is required is deceptive and misleading because it creates a false sense of urgency when the letter is received by the medical debtor.	1 (1.8%)	Indirect	Adverse	The verbiage required to be in at least 12- point bold type clearly explains to a medical debtor that the 60-day notice is not a demand for payment, no action will be taken to collect the debt within 60 days of the letter, the debt will not be reported to any credit reporting agency during the 60-day notification period, a voluntary payment may be made and will not extend the applicable statute of limitation and is not an admission of liability, and is not intended to be a communication under FDCPA. It's required to be in bold so a medical debtor can easily see the important information. The verbiage in bold text should not create a sense of urgency since it's a consumer protection law being explained in a conspicuous manner.
---	----------	----------	---------	---

Increase costs and reduction in staff will impact medical clients because agencies will not be able to accept small balance accounts and will have to increase rates.	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Adverse	This comment refers to medical clients and not a collection agency identifying as a small business nor is the comment received from a specific creditor to determine if the creditor is a small business as defined in NRS 233B.0382. Therefore, NFID does not provide a response since this a survey to determine how the proposed regulations will impact small businesses.
---	----------	--------	---------	--

The inability to communicate with a debtor in the 60-day period and the confusion the S.B. 248 letter will cause debtors will hinder an agency' reputation with debtors.	1 (1.8%)	Indirect	Adverse	The Nevada State Legislature enacted the law, S.B.248, which requires no action to be taken on medical debt less than 60 days NFID cannot remove this requirement, however, the proposed regulations provide clarification through sections 3, 4 and 6. Section 3 defines "action to collect a medical debt" and section 4 states "such written notification to a medical debtor is not intended to be a communication under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act." In addition, section 6 also requires a 60- day letter to have the disclosure: This is not intended to be a communication under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act.
---	----------	----------	---------	--

Since cannot communicate within 60 days, instead of seeking telephone communication, will report to credit agency on 61st day harming a consumer.	1 (1.8%)	Indirect	Adverse	This comment refers to consumers and not a collection agency identifying as a small business, therefore, NFID does not provide a response since this a survey to determine how the proposed regulations will impact small businesses.

Will force an agency to not collect medical debt from those located in Nevada.	6 (10.9%)	Indirect	Adverse	This would be a business decision made by a collection agency. The Nevada State Legislature enacted the law, S.B. 248. NFID cannot change a current law but can promulgate regulations to support the law. This comment is more directed towards S.B.248 and not the proposed regulations.
				regulations.

	1.5	<b>D</b> !		
Section 3 of the draft proposed	16	Direct	Adverse	Section 3 of the draft proposed regulation
regulation purports to allow	(29.1%)			defines "action to collect a medical debt"
medical debt collectors to				which list activities that are and are not
respond to inquiries from				included in the action of collecting a
medical debtors during the 60-				medical debt for the purpose of S.B.248.
day notice period; however, it				To answer the specific subsection in
does not define the parameters				question 3(e) Demanding payment and
of what is and is not permitted				the specific scenario provided, as stated
during such responsive				in S.B. 248 and the proposed regulations,
conversations or				if a medical debtor initiates the contact
letters. Specifically, while				and wants to make a voluntary payment,
section 3(e) prohibits debt				a collection agency may accept a
collectors from "demanding				payment, provide payment options and
payment," it does not specify				arrangements and send a receipt for the
what "demanding payment"				voluntary payment. Answering questions
actually means. For instance, if a				about the debt posed by a medical debtor
debtor asks how they might clear				is not considered demanding payment. If
the balance and what options				a consumer questions the balance, a
they may have, is a collector				verification of the debt can be sent
permitted to provide the				pursuant to section $3(2)(c)$ .
different payment options, to set				
up a payment plan, or offer a				
settlement? Must they wait to				
do that until after the 60 days				
have passed, even though the				
debtor wants to discuss the				
matter much sooner? Thus, if a				
consumer contacts a debt				
collector in response to the 60-				
day letter, although the debt				
collector may respond as				
authorized by draft regulation				
Section $3(2)(a)$ , there is no				
guidance as to how a debt				
collector should respond if the				
consumer questions the balance				
since any potential answer the				
debt collector gives could be				
considered a "demand for				
payment." Out of an abundance				
of caution, collectors are likely				
to respond by saying that they				
are not permitted to discuss the				
balance until after the 60-day				
notice period has expired.				

Section 4 of the draft proposed regulation harms consumers by depriving them of their federal rights under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA). As currently phrased, the regulations now prohibit a debt collector from including FDCPA disclosures. Prohibiting collection agencies from providing consumers notice of their federal rights will harm consumers because it will deprive them of 60 days in which they could have exercised those rights. More importantly, in response to the 60-day notice, some may choose to voluntarily pay the medical debt without knowing they had any federal rights at all. While officials in Nevada may believe that this correspondence would not be considered a communication in connection with a debt, thus sidestepping federal law disclosure requirements, it is not clear that Nevada officials have the ability to make such a determination regarding federal law – that would be left to the	16 (29.1%)	Direct	Adverse	This comment refers to consumers and not a collection agency identifying as a small business, this is a survey to determine how the proposed regulations will impact small businesses. However, please note S.B.248 nor the proposed regulation does not replace any federal law concerning medical debt.
federal regulators and the courts.				

The certified mail requirement harms consumers. The draft proposed regulations continue to require the first collection agency to forward its 60-day notice by certified mail. However, certified mail creates several problems for debtors. First, it creates a false sense of urgency. Next, it makes it less likely that the debtor will	16 (29.1%)	Direct	Adverse	This comment refers to consumers and not a collection agency identifying as a small business, therefore, NFID does not provide a response since this is a survey to determine how the proposed regulations will impact small businesses.
·				
actually receive the notice.				
Certified mail is typically used for urgent communications				

where proof of delivery is			
paramount. Debtors, particularly			
those who are having difficulty			
paying their bills, will likely			
consider a certified notice to be a			
precursor to litigation,			
garnishment, or other serious			
action against them. Debtors			
receiving such notices will feel			
more compelled to address the			
outstanding balance, which is			
seemingly opposite of what			
SB248 and the regulations			
intend. Further, oftentimes the			
recipient of certified mail will			
not receive the mailing. If the			
debtor is not home, which is			
often the case when mail is			
delivered, the delivery person			
must leave an attempted delivery			
("pink") slip advising that there			
is mail that needs to be picked			
up at the post office. This creates			
an added stress and burden on a			
consumer to travel to the post			
office during regular business			
hours to retrieve the piece of			
mail. If they work, that may be			
difficult or impossible. Given			
that these types of mail often			
contain bad news, many debtors			
simply choose not to accept			
delivery of certified mail even if			
they could get to the post office.			
Thus, the certified requirement			
will make it less likely that the			
debtor will be notified of the			
outstanding debt.			
	I	•	

The draft proposed regulations do not address the harm to consumers who attempt to pay via mail during the 60-day period. The mandated disclosures of Section 7.5 appear to apply to voluntary payments made over the phone, where a	16 (29.1%)	Direct	Adverse	A medical debtor may make a voluntary payment. SB248 must be complied with regarding the disclosures. An agency can add the language for voluntary payment on their website and mail a payment receipt to the debtor confirming the voluntary payment was received with the
---	---------------	--------	---------	---

collection representative can provide the disclosures verbally.required language and the r disclosures.Section 7.5 fails to addressdisclosures.	equired
Nection / Ditails to address	
parameters regarding mailed-in	
payments. Assuming Section	
7.5 contemplates these	
disclosures being sent to the	
medical debtor via a letter,	
Section 7.5 fails to address how	
long a collection agency must	
wait before depositing the	
payment. Without additional	
guidance, a collection agency	
can only comply with Section	
7.5 for mailed-in payments by	
(a) sending a letter with the	
disclosures and waiting until the	
expiration of the 60-day notice	
period to deposit the payment; or	
(b) returning the payment to the	
medical debtor with the	
disclosures asking the medical	
debtor to remail the payment.	
Since the debtor has clearly	
attempted to make the payment	
and clear the balance, this will	
both frustrate the debtor and	
harm them by not accepting a	
payment when that is what both	
the creditor and the debtor	
desire. Any medical debtor	
paying by check who does not	
routinely balance their	
checkbook may have the	
payment withdrawn well after	
they sent it, causing overdraft	
fees or other penalties; or simply	
the frustration of having an	
unexpected withdrawal. Further,	
asking medical debtors to remail	
a payment to ensure they	
received the disclosures will	
cause medical debtors to incur	
the cost of mailing twice and the	
frustration of making the	
payment twice.	

The draft proposed regulations	16	Direct	Adverse	Pursuant to S.B. 248 section 8.5, the
do not cure the undue stress	(29.1%)	Ditti	Λυντιδτ	protections set forth in sections 7, 7.5 and
consumers will suffer caused by	(2).170)			8 of S.B. 248 are for the benefit of
requiring medical debt collectors				medical debtors and cannot be waived.
who do not credit report to				The disclosure must be made to the
provide the credit report to				debtor. Suggested language:
disclosure. Section 7.5 requires				debtor. Suggested language.
collection agencies to notify a				Pursuant to NRS 649, medical debt
medical debtor who wishes to				cannot be reported until 60 days from the
make a voluntary payment that				date of the letter. However, ABC
"the medical debt will not be				Collection Agency does not report to
reported to any credit reporting				credit reporting agencies.
agency during the 60-day				creau reporting agencies.
notification period." This				
statement leaves the impression				
that after the 60-day notice				
period, the debt will be reported				
to the credit reporting				
agencies. For a variety of				
reasons, many collection				
agencies do not report medical				
debt to credit reporting agencies.				
Requiring collection agencies to				
make this disclosure, phrased in				
this manner, even where the debt				
will not be reported to a credit				
bureau, will cause undue stress				
and confusion to consumers				
concerned about maintaining				
their credit. Further, by				
requiring this disclosure phrased				
in this manner, a consumer may				
choose to pay a medical bill to				
prevent it from being reported				
on their credit, even where the				
collection agency will never				
report the debt.				
report the debt.		1	l	

Defining "Action to collect a debt" may have an adverse effect if 1) defined in a manner inconsistent with FDCPA definitions, both for training and compliance purposes 2)defined in a manner that is broader than FDCPA definitions 3) defined in a way that would prevent communication to accept voluntary payments from consumers or complicate the training that collectors receive as part of their FDCPA training (in that, every employee would need to be re-trained to follow another set of rules that is the exact opposite of the debt collection warnings they are currently trained to give when communicating with a consumer).	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Adverse	S.B.248 nor the proposed regulation does not replace any federal law concerning medical debt, therefore, an adverse effect should not occur.
In the event that a consumer chooses to ignore the letter, not be honest regarding receipt of the letter, does not claim or is not available for delivery of the letter, it causes a risk of suit or threated suit to agency that can end up costing the agency in both attorney fees and settlement/payout for damages or violation.	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Adverse	The 60-days starts from the date of mailing (the next day is day 1). The process is: an agency is assigned a debt, mails out the required notice, an agency must wait 60 days, when the 60-days has ended then an agency may proceed to attempt to collect the debt as normal and in compliance with all other provisions in S.B. 248, NRS and NAC 649, and FDCPA, no matter what the debtor does on their end. All documentation, including proof of mailing of the certified/registered letter(s) must be retained per the record retention policy in NRS 649.335. If a return receipt/certified card is not retained or received, the online tracking print-out and the tracking number must be retained for NFID to review during an examination.

If the content required in the letter is too detailed as to account information, this will case a delay in sending the letter (in addition to the 60-day notice	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Adverse	The content of the letter is required by S.B.248 and by future approved regulations.
period) because the agency will				
need to not only enter the				
account into its debt collection				
software system, but it will then				
need to be sure that its software				
system is capable of reproducing				
the information needed for the				
production of the letter.				

The more detailed the letter the	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Adverse	An agency sends out the notice with the
more room for error there is,	1 (1.070)	Direct	Auverse	information and validation of debt it is
which then is a potential risk to				provided by the client on day 1. Any
1				· · · ·
the agency for legal action. For				factors outside the control of an agency
example: If the balance assigned				should not impact this normal collection
for collection is \$100 and a				practice. An agency must still comply
voluntary payment was made to				with all state and federal laws and
either the agency or the client				regulations.
the day the letter is printed				
resulting in a lesser balance, will				
the higher balance in the 60-day				
notice letter result in a violation?				
If the letter must include the date				
of service but the client assigned				
a charge that included multiple				
dates of service (client error, not				
agency) and the letter is sent out				
with a single date of service.				
Will this will be viewed as				
agency's violation? It is				
inherently risky to put something				
in writing that is capable of				
being out dated by the time it is				
sent. Collection agencies already				
assume this risk when sending				
the required 1692g debt notice,				
and sending a second letter				
increases the risk for error and				
cost for legal action to the				
agency. The agency and client				
will need to basically "double				
check" the debt information for				
accuracy prior to the expiration				

of the 60-day waiting period because in that time if the account was paid or adjusted in any way, agencies attempt may be an FDCPA violation, resulting in a review of the accounts upon placement and upon expiration of the 60-day period/1692g notice.		
---	--	--

Timing of when the 60-day notification letter shall be sent, will may make medical clients less likely to place any accounts for collection given that they can directly collect past due balances without the same restrictions that an agency faces. This could result in a down turn of business especially for those that have medical clients as a large portion of their clientele.	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Adverse	The Nevada Legislature enacted the law, S.B.248, which requires the 60-day notice to be sent by certified mail. NFID cannot remove this requirement from the law but can promulgate regulations to support the law.
--	----------	--------	---------	--

Clients will lose confidence in our ability to generate revenue and stop using our service. Employment cuts if our business diminishes. As revenues decrease, there is a possibility of closing our branch office. In turn, that would put our Nevada employees out of work, rent space revenue for our property owner gone.	1 (1.8%)	Indirect	Adverse	This would be a business decision made by a collection agency. The Nevada State Legislature enacted the law, S.B. 248. NFID cannot change a current law but can promulgate regulations to support the law. This comment is more directed towards S.B.248 and not the proposed regulations.
--	----------	----------	---------	---

Healthcare systems must increase price to compensate for reduction in revenue.	1 (1.8%)	Indirect	Adverse	This comment refers to the healthcare system and not a collection agency identifying as a small business, therefore, NFID does not provide a response since this a survey to determine how the proposed regulations will impact small businesses.
				businesses.

The 60-day requirement will	2 (3.6%)	Indirect	Adverse	The Nevada Legislature enacted the law,
cost agencies more money with				S.B.248, which requires the 60-day
no return.				waiting period. NFID cannot remove this
				requirement from the law but can
				promulgate regulations to support the
				law.

Decrease in staff and office rental space as earnings from commission deteriorate.	1 (1.8%)	Indirect	Adverse	This would be a business decision made by a collection agency. The Nevada State Legislature enacted the law, S.B. 248. NFID cannot change a current law but can promulgate regulations to support the law. This comment is more directed towards S.B.248 and not the proposed
				regulations.

Addition costs for programming and staff hours due to the mail requirements since currently	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Adverse	The Nevada Legislature enacted the law, S.B.248, which requires the 60-day notice to be sent by certified mail. NFID
done through a third-party				cannot remove this requirement from the
corresponding with the postal				law but can promulgate regulations to
service. Would need to purchase				support the law.
equipment, hire staff to sort,				
collate and recordkeeping.				

System changes and configurations will be required to ensure the FDCPA required validation notice and/or S.B.248 letter is sent timely.	2 (3.6%)	Direct	Adverse	It is an agency's business decision to determine what software programming is needed to ensure compliance with all laws and regulations.
Delayed payments due to the 60- day pause.	2 (3.6%)	Indirect	Adverse	The Nevada Legislature enacted the law, S.B.248, which requires the 60-day waiting period. NFID cannot remove this requirement from the law but can promulgate regulations to support the law.

Unnecessary litigation due to conflicting information in S.B. 248 and the FDCPA.	4 (7.3%)	Indirect	Adverse	S.B. 248 does not replace FDCPA. An agency can comply with both laws without conflict. CFPB Reg F Section 1006-104 states, in part, "A disclosure required by State law is not inconsistent with the FDCPA or Regulation F if the disclosure describes a protection that such law affords any consumer that is greater than the protection provided by the FDCPA or Regulation F."
--	----------	----------	---------	---

Medical providers will have to sue consumers to recover costs.	1 (1.8%)	Indirect	Adverse	This comment refers to consumers and medical clients and not a collection agency identifying as a small business nor is the comment received from a specific client to determine if the client is a small business as defined in NRS 233B.0382. Therefore, NFID does not provide a response since this a survey to determine how the proposed regulations will impact small businesses.
				I THE FILL FREE

Providers will be forced to assign accounts to collections sooner than the general 120 days from date of service.	1 (1.8%)	Indirect	Adverse	This comment refers to medical providers and not a collection agency identifying as a small business nor is the comment received from a specific medical provider to determine if the medical provider is a small business as defined in NRS 233B.0382. Therefore, NFID does not provide a response since this a survey to determine how the proposed regulations will impact small businesses.

Increased risk of lawsuits due to conflict between state and federal laws.	3 (5.5%)	Direct	Adverse	S.B. 248 does not replace FDCPA. An agency can comply with both laws without conflict. CFPB Reg F Section 1006-104 states, in part, "A disclosure required by State law is not inconsistent with the FDCPA or Regulation F if the disclosure describes a protection that such law affords any consumer that is greater than the protection provided by the FDCPA or Regulation F."

Will no longer be able to perform recovery work for healthcare clients in Nevada. Will negatively impact healthcare providers.	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Adverse	This comment refers to healthcare clients and not a collection agency identifying as a small business nor is the comment received from a specific healthcare client to determine if the medical provider is a small business as defined in NRS 233B.0382. Therefore, NFID does not provide a response since this a survey to determine how the proposed regulations will impact small businesses.
--	----------	--------	---------	--

Potential lawsuits on accounts placed prior to the effective date could negatively impact business	1 (1.8%)	Indirect	Adverse	S.B. 248 became effective July 1, 2021. NFID cannot provide legal advice, however, NFID will not enforce S.B. 248 on accounts that existed prior to the effective date.
--	----------	----------	---------	---

Loss of business in the State of Nevada due to onerous requirements.	1 (1.8%)	Indirect	Adverse	The Nevada Legislature enacted the law, S.B.248, which requires the 60-day notice to be sent by certified mail. NFID cannot remove this requirement from the
				law but can promulgate regulations to support the law.

60 day period is too long. Delays ability to collect for the client	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Adverse	The Nevada Legislature enacted the law, S.B.248, which requires the 60-day waiting period. NFID cannot remove this requirement from the law but can promulgate regulations to support the law.
--	----------	--------	---------	---

The 60-day delay may negatively impact the number of patients willing to make a payment.	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Adverse	The Nevada Legislature enacted the law, S.B.248, which requires the 60-day waiting period. NFID cannot remove this requirement from the law but can promulgate regulations to support the law.

Increase expense of letter development, and the necessary man-hours necessary for new strategy implementation.	1 (1.8%)	Indirect	Adverse	The Nevada Legislature enacted the law, S.B.248, which requires the 60-day notice to be sent by certified mail. NFID cannot remove this requirement from the law but can promulgate regulations to support the law.
---	----------	----------	---------	--

to determine if the medical provider is small business as defined in NRS 233B.0382. Therefore, NFID does not provide a response since this a survey	Probable loss of Nevada-based medical providers due to small businesses inability to comply with NRS 649.332(2).	1 (1.8%)	Indirect	Adverse	233B.0382. Therefore, NFID does not provide a response since this a survey to determine how the proposed regulations
--	---	----------	----------	---------	--

Small business	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Adverse	This comment refers to healthcare clients
medical providers depend on a				and not a collection agency identifying as
collection agency to support the				a small business nor is the comment
credit-based business model.				received from a specific healthcare client
Without a cost effective way to				to determine if the medical provider is a
collect past due accounts, small				small business as defined in NRS
business healthcare provider				233B.0382. Therefore, NFID does not
partners will, out of necessity,				provide a response since this a survey to
restrict credit to those who need				determine how the proposed regulations
it most.				will impact small businesses.
				L

Section 4 of the proposed	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Adverse	The Nevada Legislature enacted the law,
regulations contains language	1 (1.070)	Direct	110,0150	S.B.248, which requires the 60-day
that suggests written notification				waiting period. NFID cannot remove this
is required at the time a medical				requirement from the law. In order for an
debt is placed with a collection				agency to collect on the date, they must
agency, regardless of whether				wait the 60-days. The 60-days starts from
the agency intends to				the date of mailing (the next day is day
engage in any collection efforts				1). The process is: an agency is assigned
on that account in the future. We				a debt, mails out the required notice, an
would note that some				agency must wait 60 days, when the 60-
agencies may collect small				days has ended then an agency may
balance healthcare accounts				proceed to attempt to collect the debt as
where the commission received				normal and in compliance with all other
on an account				provisions in S.B. 248, NRS and NAC
would be less than the cost of				649, and FDCPA.
sending a notice via certified				
mail. An agency should have the				
flexibility to				
decide that account isn't worth				
pursuing or wait until additional				
accounts may be referred (to				
increase the				
balance to justify the cost of				
mailing), so long as the agency				
doesn't engage in collection				
efforts on the				
account.				

	1 (1.00/)	D' (	A 1	
Sending a 60-day notice that	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Adverse	The Nevada Legislature enacted the law,
conveys information about the				S.B.248. NFID cannot remove this
debt and then waiting 60 days to				requirement from the law. S.B. 248 does
send the notice required by 15				not replace FDCPA. An agency can
USC 1692g will almost certainly				comply with both laws without conflict.
subject the company to increased				CFPB Reg F Section 1006-104 states, in
litigation. While the proposed				part, "A disclosure required by State law
regulations state that the 60-day				is not inconsistent with the FDCPA or
notice is not intended to be a				Regulation F if the disclosure describes a
communication under the				protection that such law affords any
FDCPA, a state agency's				consumer that is greater than the
opinion is not typically binding				protection provided by the FDCPA or
on a federal court				Regulation F."
when analyzing compliance with				
a federal statute. Further, even if				
there would be a meritorious				
defense				
to the lawsuit based on our				
company's reliance, it will not				
stop the lawsuit from being filed				
and it likely				
subjects the company to tens of				
thousands of dollars in defense				
costs for each lawsuit. Similarly,				
the				
proposed regulations seem to				
contemplate that a consumer				
might respond to the notice by				
trying to make				
a voluntary payment on the				
account. However, if that contact				
occurs shortly after the 60-day				
period				
begins, there is yet another				
violation of 15 USC 1692g,				
where the agency provides				
information about a				
debt (i.e. the amount owed) and				
then cannot send the 1692g				
notice within five days of that				
communication without				
violating the proposed				
regulations. While expenses				
related to defending frivolous				
•				
litigation are often a part of the				
budget for a collection agency,				
one such lawsuit now stands to				
eliminate				

any remaining revenue that our company could expect to recover on NV healthcare debt (after factoring in the increased mailing costs).			

The cost of ensuring compliance	1 (1.8%)	Indirect	Adverse	It is an agency's business decision to determine if external counsel is needed to
by paying external counsel to assist in implementing a new				ensure compliance with law and
notice requirement adds to				regulation.
overhead costs.				

The change to the letter approval	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Beneficial	No response is required since this
process being part of the annual				comment does not have an adverse
examination vs. upon creation or				effect.
as needed decreases the cost in				
implementing a new or revised				
letter.				

Consumers will not be engaged or responded to in the first 60 days of the certified letter, leaving them confused and angered, if they call or write the agency with questions.	1 (1.8%)	Indirect	Adverse	Section 3 of the draft proposed regulation defines "action to collect a medical debt" which lists activities that are and are not included in the action of collecting a medical debt for the purpose of S.B.248. If a medical debtor initiates the contact and wants to make a voluntary payment, a collection agency may accept a payment, answer questions about the debt posed by a medical debtor and send verification of the debt.
--	----------	----------	---------	---

Consumers who receive certified letters will likely respond in kind with certified mail to pay or dispute the debt, leaving an undue hardship on the consumer.	1 (1.8%)	Indirect	Adverse	This comment refers to consumers and not a collection agency identifying as a small business, therefore, NFID does not provide a response since this a survey to determine how the proposed regulations will impact small businesses under
				NFID's jurisdiction.

May create confusion and become overwhelming for consumer when combined the new Regulation F requirements. Reg F language would refer to a specific validation date and the proposed language of the 60 days. Also, the language states the disclosure must be on the front side of the letter. When using the model CFBP Reg F validation letter, state disclosures must be on the back side to receive the "safe harbor" protection. The proposed change	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Adverse	The disclosures to consumers should not create confusion. CFPB Reg F Section 1006-104 states, in part, "A disclosure required by State law is not inconsistent with the FDCPA or Regulation F if the disclosure describes a protection that such law affords any consumer that is greater than the protection provided by the FDCPA or Regulation F."
to SB248 would not allow the protection for agencies.				

The 60-day timeframe coupled	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Adverse	Section 3 of the draft proposed regulation
with the fact the average				defines "action to collect a medical debt"
delinquent account is not				which lists activities that are and are not
assigned to collections until at				included in the action of collecting a
least 90 days have passed, some				medical debt for the purpose of S.B.248.
at 180 days, since statement				If a medical debtor initiates the contact
date. This creates a total of 150				and wants to make a voluntary payment,
days-5 months until permitted a				a collection agency may accept a
consumer to take the initiative to				payment, answer questions about the debt
contact the agency. It will also				posed by a medical debtor and send
prevent an agency from reaching				verification of the debt.
out to offer assistance.				

Requirement to save an image of USPS responses would create most cost resulting from staff time, storage, processes to retain images. A response such as 'undeliverable" only works in the consumers benefit. If an agency is documenting the undeliverable, no other documentation or proof is needed as there would be no	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Adverse	All documentation, including proof of mailing of the certified/registered letter(s) must be retained per the record retention policy in NRS 649.335. If a return receipt/certified card is not retained or received, the online tracking print-out and the tracking number must be retained for NFID to review during an examination. This requirement aligns with current NRS 649.
1				
Usually, it's collection agencies				

attempting to prove we did not receive an "undeliverable".		

Potentially provide clarification to consumers. Doing so would minimize the time and documents needed therefore	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Beneficial	No response is required since this comment does not have an adverse effect on small
saving on cost.				

For companies to remain viable,	1 (1.8%)	Indirect	Adverse	Section 3 of the draft proposed regulation
they must be able to attempt to				defines "action to collect a medical debt"
assist the consumer in different				which lists activities that are and are not
ways. The collection industry is				included in the action of collecting a
contingency based, controlled by				medical debt for the purpose of S.B.248.
an enormous amount of				If a medical debtor initiates the contact
regulation. Collection agencies				and wants to make a voluntary payment,
have many policies and				a collection agency may accept a
procedures in place to protect the				payment, answer questions about the debt
consumer during the collection				posed by a medical debtor and send
process. Implementing the				verification of the debt.
proposed language only creates				
confusion for the consumer and				An agency can assist a consumer within
barriers for the agencies.				the current law and regulation.

This law makes sense when applied to consumers who are alive but some agencies engage in almost entirely in the collection of debts from probate estates. As written, it would be virtually impossible to comply with this law, while also adhering to other NV laws, most notably NV probate laws SB	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Adverse	S.B.248 and the proposed regulation does not replace any federal law or other state law concerning medical debt.
notably, NV probate laws. SB				
248 also presents conflicts with				
Federal law, namely the				
FDCPA.				

Many surviving family members make voluntary payments to resolve their deceased family members' debts, despite a specific disclosure that they are not personally liable for the debt in question. However, under SB 248, one of the conditions for accepting voluntary payment during the 60-day period is that the consumer initiates contact with the agency. In instances as described below, an agency would not be able to accept such payments, if the offer was extended with the 60-day window. This would provide terrible customer service and cause a grieving loved one to extend the time for resolving a	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Adverse	Section 3 of the draft proposed regulation defines "action to collect a medical debt" which lists activities that are and are not included in the action of collecting a medical debt for the purpose of S.B.248. If a deceased medical debtor's family member initiates the contact and wants to make a voluntary payment, a collection agency may accept a payment, answer questions about the debt posed by a decreased medical debtor's family member, including- sending the verification of the debt.
extend the time for resolving a debt that they want to resolve and to move toward closure.				

Subsection 5 of NRS 155.020 must file a claim with the clerk	1 (1.8%)	Direct	Adverse	NRS 155.020 states 90 days after publication, which provides 30-days after
				the 60-day pause to file a claim.
within 30 days after the mailing				the 60-day pause to me a claim.
or 90 days after the first				C.D. 249 was an estad into low by Neveda
publication of notice to creditors				S.B. 248 was enacted into law by Nevada
pursuant to NRS 155.020,				State Legislature, which requires a 60-
whichever is later." If a probate				day notice to be sent certified mail. NFID
collection agency receives such				cannot remove this requirement.
notice within the 60-day				
restriction under SB 248, then an				
agency must either violate SB				
248 and file the claim				
accordingly to preserve its rights				
under the probate statutes, or not				
file the claim, and forever lose				
its rights to collect the debt, thus				
causing financial harm. We do				
not believe that the drafters of				
SB 248 intended this outcome,				
but nonetheless, agencies are				
faced with it if SB 248 remains				
in its present form.				

# **SBI Response Summary:**

Total Known Interested Parties Solicited: 467

Total Responded with Comments: 55 Total Responded with N/A: 11 Total Responded with over 150 Employees (outside the small business threshold): 11 Total Comments Impacting the SBI % (Total Known Interested Parties Solicited - N/A - over 150 Employees=): **445** 

% Responded/Total Solicited:
11.8%
% Responded with
Comments/Total Comments
Impacting SBI: 12.4%

#### Senate Bill No. 248–Senator Dondero Loop CHAPTER.....

AN ACT relating to collection agencies; requiring a collection agency to notify a debtor before taking any action to collect a medical debt; providing certain protections to a medical debtor who initiates contact with or makes a voluntary payment to a collection agency; prohibiting certain practices relating to the collection of medical debt; prohibiting the waiver of certain protections provided to medical debtors; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

#### Legislative Counsel's Digest:

Existing law: (1) requires any person who operates a collection agency or otherwise engages in the collection of claims for others to obtain a license from the Commissioner of Financial Institutions; and (2) prohibits a collection agency or its manager, agents or employees from engaging in certain practices. (NRS 649.075, 649.375) **Section 2** of this bill: (1) defines the term "medical debt" to mean any debt owed for goods or services provided by a medical facility, a provider of health care or a provider of emergency medical services; and (2) specifies certain types of financing and credit which are included within or excluded from the term. **Sections 3-6** of this bill define other terms related to medical debt. **Section 9** of this bill requires a collection agency to send by registered or certified mail written notice to a person who owes a medical debt at least 60 days before taking any action to collect the medical debt and requires the notice to contain certain information. **Section 7.5** of this bill provides that: (1) a collection agency may, under certain circumstances, accept a voluntary payment from a medical debtor during the 60-day notification period specified in **section 7**; and (2) certain protections and rights are preserved for a medical debtor who initiates contact with a collection agency or makes a voluntary payment to a collection agency. **Section 8** of this bill prohibits a collection agency, or its manager, agents or employees, from engaging in certain practices relating to the collection of a medical debt. **Section 8.5** of this bill provides that the protections set forth in **sections 7, 7.5 and 8** are for the benefit of medical debtors and cannot be waived.

EXPLANATION - Matter in *bolded italics* is new; matter between brackets [omitted material] is material to be omitted.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, REPRESENTED IN SENATE AND ASSEMBLY, DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

**Section 1.** Chapter 649 of NRS is hereby amended by adding thereto the provisions set forth as sections 2 to 8.5, inclusive, of this act.

Sec. 2. 1. "Medical debt" means any debt owed for goods or services provided by a medical facility, a provider of health care or a provider of emergency medical services. 2. Except as otherwise provided in subsection 3, the term includes the financing or an extension of credit by a third party for the sole purpose of purchasing goods or services provided by a medical facility, a provider of health care or a provider of emergency medical services.

3. The term does not include an open-end or closed-end extension of credit made by a financial institution to a borrower that may be used by the borrower, at his or her own discretion, for any purpose other than the purchase of goods or services provided by a medical facility, a provider of health care or a provider of emergency medical services.

Sec. 3. "Medical debtor" means a debtor who owes a medical debt.

Sec. 4. "Medical facility" has the meaning ascribed to it in NRS 449.0151.

Sec. 5. "Provider of emergency medical services" means:

1. The operator of an ambulance or air ambulance; or

2. A fire-fighting agency which provides transportation for persons in need of emergency services and care to hospitals.

Sec. 6. "Provider of health care" has the meaning ascribed to it in NRS 629.031.

Sec. 7. 1. Not less than 60 days before taking any action to collect a medical debt, a collection agency shall send by registered or certified mail to the medical debtor written notification that sets forth: (a) The name of the medical facility, provider of health care or provider of emergency medical services

that provided the goods or services for which the medical debt is owed;

(b) The date on which those goods or services were provided; and

(c) The principal amount of the medical debt.

2. The written notification required by subsection 1 must:

(a) Identify the name of the collection agency; and

(b) Inform the medical debtor that, as applicable:

(1) The medical debt has been assigned to the collection agency for collection; or

(2) The collection agency has otherwise obtained the medical debt for collection.

Sec. 7.5. 1. Nothing in section 7 of this act shall prohibit a collection agency from accepting a voluntary payment from a medical debtor during the 60-day notification period specified in subsection 1 of section 7 of this act provided that: –

(a) The medical debtor initiates the contact with the collection agency; and

(b) The collection agency discloses to the medical debtor that:

(1) A payment is not demanded or due; and

(2) The medical debt will not be reported to any credit reporting agency during the 60-day notification period specified in subsection 1 of section 7 of this act.

2. No action by a medical debtor to initiate contact with a collection agency may be construed to allow the collection agency to take action to collect the medical debt before the expiration of the 60-day notification period specified in subsection 1 of section 7 of this act.

3. Any voluntary payment toward a medical debt that is made by a medical debtor to a collection agency in accordance with this section:

(a) Does not extend the applicable statute of limitations;

(b) Is not an admission of liability; and

(c) Shall not be construed as a waiver of any defense to the collection of the medical debt.

Sec. 8. A collection agency, or its manager, agents or employees, shall not, for any medical debt: 1. Take any confession of judgment or any power of attorney running to the collection agency or to any third person to confess judgment or to appear for the debtor in a judicial proceeding.

2. Commence a civil action to collect the medical debt if the amount of the medical debt, excluding interest, late fees, collection costs, attorney's fees and any other fees or costs, is less than the maximum jurisdictional amount set forth in subsection 1 of NRS 73.010. Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to prohibit the commencement of a small claims action in justice court to collect the medical debt.

3. Charge or collect a fee of more than 5 percent of the amount of the medical debt, excluding interest, late fees, collection costs, attorney's fees and any other fees or costs, as a collection fee or as an attorney's fee for the collection of the medical debt.

Sec. 8.5. The protections set forth in sections 7, 7.5 and 8 of this act are for the benefit of medical debtors and cannot be waived.

Sec. 9. NRS 649.005 is hereby amended to read as follows:

649.005 As used in this chapter, unless the context otherwise requires, the words and terms defined in NRS 649.010 to 649.035, inclusive, *and sections 2 to 6, inclusive, of this act* have the meanings ascribed to them in those sections.

Sec. 10. This act becomes effective on July 1, 2021.